

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,730	10/01/2003	Michael A. Bridges	VANS121762	2936	
26389 CHRISTENSE	7590 04/23/2007 'N O'CONNOR IOHNSOI	. EXAMINER			
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE			KIM, SUN U ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	U NU	
SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, W	A 98101-2347		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
CLITTEE, W			1723		
		·			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	04/23/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/676,7	30	BRIDGES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examine		Art Unit	
	•	John Kim		1723	
Th	e MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perio - Failure to r Any reply r	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REVERSE IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication d for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properly within the set or extended period for reply will, by seceived by the Office later than three months after the rent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF The R 1.136(a). In no even, eriod will apply and witatute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)⊠ This 3)⊡ Sine	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 2 saction is FINAL . 2b) 2 ce this application is in condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under	This action is rowance except	for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of	of Claims				
4a) 0 5)⊠ Clai 6)⊠ Clai 7)□ Clai 8)□ Clai Application F 9)□ The 10)⊠ The App	im(s) 1-26 and 28 is/are pending in the Of the above claim(s) 20-22 is/are withom(s) 1-15 is/are allowed. im(s) 16-19,23-26 and 28 is/are rejected is/are objected to. im(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to by the Example of the Ex	drawn from cond. d. nd/or election reminer. /are: a) accomple accomple drawing(s) to the drawing(s)	equirement. epted or b) objected be held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
	r 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Ackr a) A 1. 2. 3.	nowledgment is made of a claim for force b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docum Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buthe attached detailed Office action for a	nents have bee nents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
2) Notice of D 3) Information	teferences Cited (PTO-892) traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE n)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on February 12, 2007.

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 20-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on February 3, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 16-19 and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 16 is indefinite for failing to particularly point out particular structures between a base and a purifier vessel that allows untreated water to enter the purifier vessel from the sides of the opening of the purifier vessel. For instance, a ring member has a cylindrical sidewall with a plurality of holes (128) and the purifier vessel has a plurality of pegs (140) spaced along the upper circular surface of the horizontal flange (136) to define a plurality of spaces between adjacent pegs to allow untreated water to flow therethrough and into the purifier vessel (132). Furthermore, claim 16 is indefinite for failing to particularly point out a purifier vessel in fluid communication with a dwell chamber to provide treated water of purifier vessel to flow to a dwell chamber. Claim 23 is also indefinite for failing to particularly point out a purifier vessel in fluid communication with a dwell chamber to provide treated water of purifier vessel to flow to a dwell chamber.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 23-24 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reid in view of Worley et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,548,054.

Regarding Claims 23-24 and 28, Reid discloses a water purification cartridge, comprising: a purifier vessel (#38) and a dwell chamber (#50) enclosed within an outer skin (#20) (see Fig.1; col. 2, line 54 – col. 4, line 14). Reid discloses that the purifier vessel (#38) contains halogenated resin as biocides (Col. 3, lines 17-28) but does not disclose a halogenated polystyrene hydantoin or halogenated hydantoinylated siloxane wherein the residual halogen concentration is less than 1 ppm. Worley et al teach biocides used for water purification including halogenated polystyrene hydantoin wherein the halogen is chlorine or bromine (Abstract; Col. 2, line 16 – col. 3, line 65). Worley et al further teaches that amount of biocidal

Art Unit: 1723

halogen covalently bonded to the hydantoin rings are controlled depending on the intended application (Col. 4, lines 22-25; Col. 11, line 60 – Col. 12, line 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute halogenated polystyrene hydantoin for halogenated resin of Reid because both are biocides used in water filters. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to discover optimum loading of halogen onto a polymer having pendant hydantoin groups or halogenated polystyrene hydantoin for effective biocidal activity for water purification including claimed residual halogen concentration of less than 1 ppm. See In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Peterson, 315 F.3d at 1330, 65 USPQ2d at 1382.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-15 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 16-19 and 25-26 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 16-19, 23-26 and 28 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicants argues that Hatch patent (US Patent No. 4,594,392) in Reid reference teaches the halogen concentration in the effluent of the various halogenated and/or interhalogenated vinylpyridine resins showing 8.2 mg/L as I₂ in Table 3 of the Hatch patent. However, Hatch patent also suggests that the resin can be prepared initially with a lower weight percent of halogens if a lower level of halogen is desired in the effluent (see col. 8, lines 63-66).

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-1142. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7 a.m. - 3:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,730

Art Unit: 1723

: 10/676,730 Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1723

JK 4/18/07